

International Journal of Mass Spectrometry 185/186/187 (1999) 327-341



Gas phase and condensed phase S_N reactions. The competitive five and six centre cyclisations of the 4,5-epoxypentoxide anion: a joint experimental and theoretical study

John M. Hevko, Suresh Dua, Mark S. Taylor, John H. Bowie*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Adelaide, 5005 South Australia

Received 5 June 1998; accepted 20 July 1998

Abstract

Ab initio calculations [at the MP2 Fc/6-31+G(d) level of theory] indicate that the barriers to the transition states for the competitive five and six centre S_Ni cyclisation processes of the 4,5-epoxypentoxide anion are both 48 kJ mol⁻¹. Experimental studies show that (1) in solution, the 4,5-epoxypentoxide anion cyclises (and at the same time opens the ethylene oxide ring) to give tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol [tetrahydro-2-furanmethanol (the product of the five-centre cyclisation)] as the predominant product on workup, and (2) collision activation of the 4,5-epoxypentoxide anion in the gas phase gives the tetrahydro-2-furanmethoxide anion as the exclusive product. Since the computed barriers for the cyclisations proceeding through five- and six-membered transition states are comparable, frequency factors (Arrhenius *A* factors) must be controlling the courses of the kinetically controlled reactions. A comparison of the calculated harmonic vibrational partition functions for the two transition states confirms a higher value of *A* (and hence a higher rate) for the reaction proceeding via the five-membered transition state. (Int J Mass Spectrom 185/186/187 (1999) 327–341) © 1999 Elsevier Science B.V.

Keywords: S_Ni reactions; 4,5-epoxypentoxide anion; Condensed and gas phase comparison

1. Introduction

Previous studies have shown that the relative rates of two competing gas phase S_N reactions of ethylene oxides are dependent on a number of factors, including (1) the angle of approach of each nucleophile to the receptor atom (the closer to 180°, the more favourable the reaction), and (2) the relative ring strain energies involved in forming the two transition states [1–3].

Our previous work with epoxides is summarised in Scheme 1. (A reviewer has asked whether these gas phase results agree with Baldwin's rules. The particular rule involves base-catalysed solution-phase reactions of ethylene oxides substituted with a side chain that contain a stabilised carbanion: in cases where competitive S_N reactions may occur via the carbanion, that producing the smaller ring is predominant [4–6]. We have not studied the analogous carbanion cyclisations in the gas phase so we cannot comment on those systems, however, with the exception of our 4/5 system [3], the results shown in Scheme 1 show a similar trend to those obtained for Baldwin's carbanion examples [5].) The energised 2,3-epoxypropoxide

^{*} Corresponding author.

Dedicated to Michael T. Bowers on the occasion of his 60th birthday.



Scheme 1.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{A}, \ C_1 O_3 = C_1 O_5 = 1.89 \ \text{\AA}; & O_3 C_1 O_5 = 160.1^\circ; \ O_5 C_1 C_2 O_3 = -159.7^\circ \\ \textbf{B}, \ C_1 O_2 = 1.91; \ C_1 O_6 = 2.08 \ \text{\AA}; \ O_2 C_1 O_6 = 116.5^\circ; \ O_6 C_1 C_3 O_2 = -153.0^\circ \\ \textbf{C}, \ C_1 O_3 = 1.85; \ C_1 O_6 = 2.00 \ \text{\AA}; \ O_3 C_1 O_6 = 163.4^\circ; \ O_6 C_1 C_2 O_3 = -163.1^\circ \\ \textbf{D}, \ C_1 O_2 = 1.84; \ C_1 O_6 = 2.09 \ \text{\AA}; \ O_2 C_1 O_6 = 140.4^\circ; \ O_6 C_1 C_3 O_2 = -163.1^\circ \\ \end{array}$

anion (1) undergoes the two competitive reactions shown, with the Payne rearrangement (through transition state **A**) predominating: here, the relative ring strain energies are not a major factor (the respective strain energies for ethylene oxide and oxetan are 112.5 and 107.5 kJ mol⁻¹ [7]). In contrast, the 3,4-epoxybutoxide anion (**2**) proceeds to transition states **C** and **D** to comparable extents: the O–C–O angles in the transition states favour reaction through **C** (see Scheme 1), while **D** is favoured because the ring strain is less (the respective ring strains for oxetan and tetrahydrofuran are 107.5 and 25 kJ mol⁻¹ [7]). There is no evidence of frequency factors being major influences in determining relative rates of the studied reactions [3].

In this article we extend the above studies to probe the scenario outlined in Scheme 2. Does the 4,5epoxypentoxide anion (3) form 4 and 5 in both the gas and condensed phases? Is the angle of approach of O^- to each of the electrophilic carbon atoms the major factor influencing the reactions (the difference in strain energies are modest: the relative strain energies of tetrahydrofuran and tetrahydropyran being 25 and 6 kJ mol⁻¹ [7])?

2. Experimental

2.1. Mass spectrometric methods

Collisional activation (CA) mass spectra (MS/MS) were determined with a VG ZAB 2HF mass spec-



trometer [8]. Full operating details have been reported [9]. Specific details were as follows: the chemical ionisation slit was used in the chemical ionisation source, the ionising energy was 70 eV, the ion source temperature was 100°C, and the accelerating voltage was 7 kV. The liquid samples were introduced through the septum inlet with no heating [measured pressure of sample 1×10^{-6} Torr (1 Torr = 133.322 Pa)]. Alkoxide anions were formed by S_N2 displacement from the appropriate methyl ether using HO⁻ (from H₂O: measured pressure 1×10^{-5} Torr). The estimated source pressure was 10^{-1} Torr. Argon was used in the second collision cell (measured pressure, outside the cell, 2×10^{-7} Torr), giving a 10% reduction in the main beam, equivalent to single collision conditions. (CA) MS/MS measurements involved using the magnet to choose the ion under study [normally the $(M-H)^{-}$ species], collision activating it (see above), and scanning the electric sector to analyse the resultant product anions. Charge reversal (CR) (positive ion) MS/MS data for negative ions were obtained as for CA MS/MS data, except that the electric sector potential was reversed to allow the transmission of positively charged product ions (for full details see [10]). The recorded peak widths at half height are an average of 10 individual measurements and are correct to ± 0.2 V.

2.2. Ab initio calculations

The GAUSSIAN 94 [11] suite of programs was used for all calculations, which were carried out on Silicon Graphics Power Challenge. The geometries of the local minima and the transition states were optimised at the RHF/6-31+G(d) and MP2 (Fc)/6-31+G(d,p) levels of theory. Harmonic frequency analyses were performed on each stationary point in order to characterise them as either a local minimum or transition state. A local minimum is characterised by possessing all real vibrational frequencies and its hessian matrix possessing all positive eigenvalues. A transition state is characterised by possessing one (and only one) imaginary frequency and its hessian matrix possessing one (and only one) negative eigenvalue. Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations were performed (beginning from both transition structures) to verify that each transition structure connected particular local minima. Final energies are quoted at the MP2 Fc/6-31+G(d) level of theory, and include a scaled (0.8929) zero point vibrational energy correction [that is based on the RHF/6-31+G(d) optimised geometry].

2.2.1. Unlabelled compounds

Tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol, 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran, cyclobutanol, and cyclopropylmethanol were commercial samples. Tetrahydro-2H-pyran-3-ol was prepared by hydroboration of 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran using 9-BBN [12]. 2-(3-methoxypropyl)oxiran was formed from 5-methoxypent-1-ene and meta-chloroperbenzoic acid [13]. Methoxy derivatives used in this study were made by standard methods (compare below).

2.2.2. Labelled compounds

The ²H and ¹⁸O labelled tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol were available from a previous study [14]. Other labelled compounds were synthesised as outlined below. The purity of all products was established by ¹H NMR and positive ion mass spectrometry. The extent of incorporation (of D and/or ¹⁸O) was established by either positive or negative ion mass spectrometry. Alcohols were converted into their methyl ethers by a standard route cf. [13].

2.2.3. 3,3-D₂-2-(3-methoxypropyl)oxiran

4-Pentenoic acid (0.5 g) was added dropwise to a suspension of lithium aluminium deuteride (0.24 g) in diethyl ether (10 cm³), and the mixture heated at reflux for 2 h. The mixture was cooled to 0°C, aqueous hydrogen chloride (saturated, 1 cm³) was added, the organic layer separated, the aqueous layer extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 5 \text{ cm}^3)$, the combined organic extracts dried (MgSO₄), concentrated in vacuo, and the residue distilled to yield 1,1-D₂-4pentenol (0.4 g, 90%), b.p. 140-142°C. The labelled pentenol was converted to the methyl ether and then to 3,3-D₂-2-(3-methoxypropyl)oxiran by the standard route [13]: yield (0.4 g, 68%).

2.2.4. 2-(3-18O-methoxypropyl)oxiran

A mixture of 4-pentenoic acid (1 g), oxalyl chloride (1.14 cm³), N,N-dimethylformamide (1 drop) in diethyl ether (30 cm³) was allowed to stir at 20°C for 3 h. Removal of the solvent in vacuo followed by distillation yielded 4-pentenoyl chloride (0.83 g, 70%), which was added to a mixture of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (10 cm³) and H₂¹⁸O (0.15 g, 96% ¹⁸O), the mixture allowed to stir at 20°C for 24 h, the solvent removed in vacuo and the residue treated with lithium aluminium hydride [as for pentenoic acid (above)] to yield pent-4-en-1-ol-¹⁸O (0.70 g), that was converted to 2-(3-¹⁸O-methoxypropyl)oxiran (0.55 g, 47%) by the standard route [13].

2.3. Condensed phase reactions

Products of the reactions outlined below were analysed using a Finnigan MAT GCQ mass spectrometer. Conditions: Column phase RTX-SMS (length 30 cm, ID 0.25, GC fused silica capillary), He carrier gas. Initial column temperature, held at 50°C for 2 min, then the temperature increases at 15°C per minute. Retention times: **4** (5.39 min) and **5** (3.58 min).

A mixture of the acetate of **3** (0.5 g), in aqueous sodium hydroxide (10%, 5 cm³) was allowed to stir at 20°C (or 100°C—see Table 2) for 60 hours. The reaction mixture was sampled at various times. Each sample was acidified with aqueous hydrogen chloride (10%) until the pH was 6, extracted with dichloromethane (5 cm³), the organic extract dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The product composition was analysed by gc/ms (see Table 2).

Tetrahydro-2-furanmethanol (tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol) and tetrahydropyran-3-ol were treated with aqueous sodium hydroxide as detailed in Table 2. No reaction was observed in either case.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. The results of ab initio calculations

The results of an ab initio computational study using GAUSSIAN 94 [11] for the reactions shown in



Fig. 1. Ab initio calculations at MP2-Fc/6-31+G(d) level (GAUSS-IAN 94) for the reactions $3 \rightarrow 4$ and $3 \rightarrow 5$. Energies, kJ mol⁻¹. Structures **3**, **4**, and **5** have a number of stable conformers. Those shown are the most stable (see Appendix for geometries and energies of the most stable conformers).

Scheme 2 are shown in Fig. 1. The geometries of the local minima and the transition states were optimised at the RHF/6-31+G(d) level of theory, and subsequently at the MP2-Fc/6-31+G(d) level of theory. Energies of 3-5, E, and F together with the geometries of the two transition states are listed in Table 1. Full geometric data are listed in the appendix.

The details shown in Fig. 1 and Table 1 show the barriers to the two transition states **E** and **F** are both 48 kJ mol⁻¹ at the level of theory indicated. (It is of interest that a theoretical investigation of the gas phase reaction of HO⁻ with propylene oxide indicates that S_N^2 addition can occur at either of the ring carbons, with reaction at the less substituted carbon being favoured marginally [15].) Factors that may contribute to these barriers are as follows. (1) The OCO angles in the transition states for the five- and six-centre rearrangements are 156.0 and 152.8°, with

Table 1

Energies of species shown in Fig. 1 and geometries of transition states E and F

Species	Energies [hartrees (kJ mol ⁻¹)]	Geometries	
3	-308.167127 (nominally 0)	See Appendix	x
4	-345.1713646 (-57.1)	See Appendix	x
5	-345.1815112 (-83.7)	See Appendix	x
Ε	-345.1313611 (+48.0)	C_1C_2 C_2C_3 C_3C_4 C_4C_5 C_5O_6	1.4461 Å 1.5061 1.5290 1.5323 1.3789
	$\begin{array}{c} \delta^{-} \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \delta^{-} \\ \delta^{-} \\ 6 \\ 5 \end{array} \right)^{3} 4$	$\begin{array}{c} O_{6}C_{2} \\ C_{2}O_{7} \\ C_{1}C_{2}C_{3} \\ C_{2}C_{3}C_{4} \\ C_{3}C_{4}C_{5} \\ O_{6}C_{2}O_{7} \\ C_{2}O_{7}C_{1} \\ O_{7}C_{1}C_{2} \\ O_{6}C_{2}C_{1}O_{7} \end{array}$	2.1019 1.7989 121.38° 111.18 107.74 156.08 51.77 77.73 -176.13
F	-345.1314998 (+47.7) 8-	$C_{1}C_{2} \\ C_{2}C_{3} \\ C_{3}C_{4} \\ C_{5}O_{6} \\ O_{6}C_{1} \\ C_{1}O_{7} \\ \end{array}$	1.4374 Å 1.5128 1.5348 1.3786 2.1044 1.7644
	$\delta = \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{5} \frac{1}{4} \frac{3}{4}$	$\begin{array}{c} O_7C_2\\ C_1C_2C_3\\ C_2C_3C_4\\ C_3C_4C_5\\ C_4C_5O_6\\ O_6C_1O_7\\ C_1O_7C_2\\ O_7C_2C_1\\ O_6C_1C_2O_7\\ \end{array}$	1.4261 115.69° 109.68 112.91 114.70 152.82 76.07 119.62 175.31

the dihedral angles being 176.1 and 175.3°, respectively. This should marginally favour the formation of the five-centre transition state, since previous studies [1-3] indicate that the closer the angles are to those of the "S_N2" state (180°), the more facile the reaction. (2) The release in ring strain in effecting the five- and six-centre transition states favours the formation of the six-centre state (strain energy of ethylene oxide, tetrahydrofuran, and tetrahydropyran are 112.5, 25.1, and 6.3 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively [7]). (3) The larger electrophilicity of the more substituted carbon of the

Table 2 Base catalysed solution reactions of 1-acetoxy-4,5-epoxypentane, 4, and 5. Base, 10% aqueous sodium hydroxide^a

	-	-	
Reactant	Temp (°C)	Time (h)	Product ratio (4:5) ^b
Acetate of	20	0.08	95:5
3	20	1.0	95:5
	20	60.0	95:5
	100	0.08	91:9
	100	1.0	91:9
4	100	1.0	100:0
	100	60.0	100:0
5	100	1.0	0:100
	100	60.0	0:100

^aFor experimental conditions see Sec. 2, Experimental.

^bThe title compound **3** is not detected under these experimental conditions. Hydrolysis of the acetate gives the anion of **3**, which immediately cyclises (in quantitative yield) to yield the listed products.

ethylene oxide ring in the reactant (according to Mulliken charge analyses, $C_1 = 0.057$, $C_2 = 0.478$), favours formation of the five-centre transition state. If the computed barriers (i.e. the kinetics) solely control the relative rates of the two reactions, the formation of both **4** and **5** should be facile, and they should be formed in comparable yield.

3.2. The reactions of 3, 4, and 5 in the condensed phase

The base catalysed reactions of the acetate of **3** have been carried out previously under a number of conditions [16]. The neutrals derived from **4** and **5** are both formed, with the former formed in the higher yield. We have repeated these base catalysed reactions of the acetate of **3**, together with similar reactions of **4** and **5** in 10% aqueous sodium hydroxide: The results are summarised in Table 2. The five-membered ring system **4** is formed preferentially at room temperature (**4**:**5** = 18:1) and there is no change in the product ratio with increasing time. At 100°C, the ratio of **4**:**5** = 10:1, again, with no change with increasing time. There is no conversion of either **4** or **5** to **3**, even when the reaction mixture is heated under reflux for 60 h.



Fig. 2. Collision-induced mass spectrum (MS/MS) of **3**. Produced by the S_N^2 reaction between the methyl ether and HO⁻. VG ZAB 2HF instrument: For experimental conditions see Sec. 2, Experimental. Peak widths at half height [m/z (volts ±0.2)]: 71 (25.2) and 83 (24.8).

3.3. Gas phase cyclisation of the 4,5-epoxypentoxide anion

All alkoxide anions were formed following S_N^2 displacement from the precursor methyl ether: this is necessary since deprotonation of precursor alcohols can occur both at OH and elsewhere on the molecule. The collision-induced mass spectra of the three isomers **3**, **4**, and **5** are recorded in Figs. 2–4. Peak widths at half height for the major peaks in these spectra are recorded in the legend to each figure. Data pertaining to product ion studies are listed in Table 3, and the mass spectra of some ²H and ¹⁸O labelled derivatives are recorded in Table 4.

The spectra of **3** and **4** shown in Figs. 1 and 2 are very similar, including identical peak widths at half height of the major fragment peaks at m/z 83 and 71. In contrast, the spectra of **3** and **4** are quite different from that of **5** (Fig. 3), and the heights at half height of m/z 83 and 71 in that spectrum are quite different from those in the spectra of **3** and **4**. This indicates that either the structures of m/z 83 and 71 from **5** are



Fig. 3. Collision-induced mass spectrum (MS/MS) of 4. Produced by the S_N^2 reaction between the methyl ether and HO⁻. VG ZAB 2HF instrument. Peak widths at half height [m/z (volts ±0.2)]: 71 (25.3) and 83 (24.9).

different from the corresponding ions formed from **3** and **4**, and/or, that the mechanisms and energetics of the respective processes are different. This is the



Fig. 4. Collision-induced mass spectrum (MS/MS) of **5**. Produced by the S_N^2 reaction between the methyl ether and HO⁻. VG ZAB 2HF instrument. Peak widths at half height [m/z (volts ±0.2)]: 71 (29.5) and 83 (27.5).

Table 3						
Product	ion	studies	using	charge	reversal	spectraa

Parent ion (m/z)	Product ion (m/z)	Spectrum CR $[m/z \text{ (abundance)}]$
3 (101)		100 (4), 81 (4), 71 (100), 69 (14), 57 (3), 55 (14), 53 (5), 45 (6), 43 (38), 42 (46), 41 (55), 39 (53), 31 (14), 29 (38), 27 (20).
4 (101)		100 (3), 81 (3), 71 (100), 69 (15), 57 (4), 55 (14), 53 (4), 45 (4), 43 (35), 42 (39), 41 (52), 39 (48), 31 (15), 29 (34), 27 (19).
5 (101		100 (9), 71 (52), 69 (12), 55 (13), 53 (4), 45 (10), 43 (24), 42 (100), 41 (80), 39 (71), 31 (10), 29 (46), 27 (28).
3 (101)	-H ₂ O (83)	83 (31), 82 (100), 81 (52), 68 (12), 55 (40), 54 (28), 53 (43), 51 (23), 50 (21), 41 (7), 39 (55), 29 (30), 27 (28), 26 (10).
4 (101)	$-H_2O$	83 (33), 82 (100), 81 (60), 68 (14), 55 (50), 54 (33), 53 (55), 51 (28), 50 (28), 41 (10), 39 (66), 29 (30), 27 (32), 26 (14).
5 (101)	-Н ₂ О (83)	83 (15), 82 (100), 81 (63), 68 (6), 55 (30), 54 (40), 53 (60), 51 (35), 50 (30), 49 (12), 39 (72), 29 (33), 27 (53), 26 (16).
(83) ^b		83 (26), 82 (100), 81 (66), 68 (5), 55 (48), 54 (32), 53 (60), 51 (30), 50 (30), 41 (12), 39 (58), 29 (32), 27 (37), 26 (15).
3 (101)	$-CH_2O$	71 (4), 70 (46), 69 (75), 68 (15), 56 (21), 55 (52), 54 (32), 53 (35), 51 (14), 50 (16), 44 (6), 43 (24), 42 (65), 41 (78), 39 (100), 29 (42), 27 (36), 26 (16)
4 (101)	$-CH_2O$ (71)	71 (2), 70 (52), 69 (72), 68 (15), 56 (20), 55 (45),54 (28), 53 (30), 51 (12), 50 (13), 44 (6), 43 (19), 42 (65), 41 (78), 39 (100), 29 (42), 27 (36), 26 (16).
(71) ^c		71 (1), 70 (26), 69 (75), 68 (20), 56 (15), 55 (45), 54 (31), 53 (31), 51 (18), 50 (20), 44 (5), 43 (13), 42 (48), 41 (65), 39 (100), 29 (38), 27 (28), 26 (22).
(71) ^d	- H]-	71 (2), 70 (26), 69 (85), 68 (15), 56 (12), 55 (50), 54 (32), 53 (35), 51 (21), 50 (23), 44 (4), 43 (12), 42 (45), 41 (58), 39 (100), 29 (25), 27 (20), 26 (16).
5 (101)	-CH ₂ O (71)	71 (18), 70 (93), 69 (100), 68 (33), 55 (36), 42 (14), 41 (28), 39 (30), 29 (18), 27 (19).
(71) ^e		71 (12), 70 (88), 69 (100), 68 (36), 55 (37), 42 (14), 41 (25), 39 (28), 29 (14), 27 (20).
5 (101)	$-C_{3}H_{6}$	59 (17), 58 (27), 56 (38), 45 (15), 44 (45), 42 (40), 41 (38), 31 (33), 30 (46), 29 (100), 28 (38).
$-OCH_2CH($	(59) D ^f	59 (10), 58 (24), 56 (38), 42 (42), 41 (40), 31 (31), 30 (44), 29 (100), 28 (39).
(59) CH ₃ CO ₂ ^{-g} (59)		56 (4), 45 (28), 44 (100), 43 (36), 42 (38), 41 (18), 29 (12), 28 (15), 15 (10), 14 (5), 13 (2).

^aThese spectra are very dependent upon the collision gas pressure in the cell. Exact correspondence of abundances between spectra are not expected.

^bProduced by deprotonation of 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran with HO⁻ in the source.

^cProduced by deprotonation of cyclopropylmethanol by HO⁻ in the source.

^dProduced by deprotonation of but-2-ene-1-ol by HO⁻ in the ion source.

^eProduced by deprotonation of cyclobutanol by HO⁻ in the source.

^fProduced by deprotonation of HOCH₂CHO with HO⁻ in the ion source.

^gProduced by deprotonation of CH₃CO₂H with HO⁻ in the ion source.

Table 4

CA MS/MS of labelled analogues^a [m/z (loss)abundance]

O m/z 103	102 (H [•])10, 101 (D [•])7, 85 (H ₂ O)100, 73 (CH ₂ O)34, 71 (CD ₂ O)68, 59 (C ₃ H ₄ D ₂)4, 49 (C ₄ H ₆)8, 47 (C ₄ H ₄ D ₂)4.
CD ₂ O- m/z 103	102 (H [•])4, 101 (D [•])2, 85 (H ₂ O)100, 73 (CH ₂ O)43, 71 (CD ₂ O)12, 61 (C ₃ H ₆)49 49 (C ₃ H ₆)5, 47 (C ₄ H ₄ D ₂)3.
^O m/z 103	102 (H $^{\circ}$)15, 85 (H ₂ O)70, 83 (H ₂ 18 O)97, 73 (CH ₂ O)61, 71 (CH ₂ 18 O)100, 61 (C ₃ H ₆)4, 49 (C ₄ H ₆)15.
CH2 ¹⁸ O - m/z 103	102 (H [•])15, 85 (H ₂ O)52, 83 (H ₂ ¹⁸ O)35, 73 (CH ₂ O)100, 71 (CH ₂ ¹⁸ O)37, 61 (C ₃ H ₆)6, 49 (C ₄ H ₆)12.

 $^{\rm a}{\rm Anions}$ produced by the ${\rm S_N2}$ reaction between the methyl ether and HO⁻.

simplest scenario that we have seen in S_N studies to date cf. [1–3], viz, **3** is converting to **4** but that cyclisation to **5** is not occurring under the reaction conditions. We now need to confirm that (1) the fragment ions formed from **3** and **4** have the same structures, (2) there are differences between these structures and those of the fragment anions from **5**, and (3) fragmentations observed in the spectra of **3** occur following conversion to **4**.

The data concerning product ion studies are collected in Table 3. The collision activation spectra of source formed product anions are either not diagnostic in any of the cases under study, or the spectra are too weak for meaningful comparisons to be made. Thus we have compared the charge reversal spectra [10] of product anions with those of anions formed by independent syntheses. The results of these investigations are summarised in Scheme 3. Charge reversal spectra are positive ion spectra produced following charge stripping of the parent anion to yield a (decomposing) parent cation. Rearrangement reactions (particularly those involving movement of H) are more prevalent in positive ion spectra than negative ion spectra, thus care must be taken in using charge reversal spectra alone to determine the structure of the precursor negative ion. Sometimes charge reversal spectra provide an unequivocal answer; in other cases the situa-



tion is more complex. Examples of both scenarios follow.

Loss of water from 3 and 4 give peaks (at m/z 83) with identical widths at half height and identical charge reversal spectra, thus the structure(s) and mechanism(s) of formation of these product anions are the same in both cases. The charge reversal spectra of m/z 83 from 3 and 4 are identical with that of deprotonated 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran (see Table 3). However, the deprotonated forms of dihydropyran and the ring opened form shown in Scheme 3 will be in equilibrium under the reaction conditions, and charge reversal spectra will not differentiate between them. Loss of water from 5 gives a peak at m/z 83 that has a different width at half height when compared with those of the corresponding peaks from 3 and 4, but the m/z 83 ions in all three spectra show identical charge reversal spectra.

Data for the various losses of formaldehyde from **3**, **4**, and **5** (to form m/z 71) are more informative. Losses of CH₂O from **3** and **4** give peaks at m/z 71: their widths at half height and charge reversal spectra are identical. The charge reversal spectra of the m/z 71 ions from **3** and **4** and those of authentic deprotonated cyclopropylmethanol and but-2-en-1-ol are identical (see Table 3), thus m/z 71 from **3** and **4** correspond to either the cyclopropylmethoxide anion or the ring opened form of this species (see Scheme 3). There is a minor product formed by loss of CH₂O



from both 3 and 4 that gives rise to m/z 44 and 43 in the respective charge reversal spectra (see Table 3): this product has not been identified. In contrast, loss of formaldehyde from 5 gives a product anion (m/z)71) which has an identical charge reversal spectrum to that of deprotonated cyclobutanol (see Table 3). Finally, there is a pronounced peak at m/z 59 in the spectrum (Fig. 4) of **5** produced by loss of C_3H_6 . Comparison of the charge reversal spectrum of this ion with those of the formylmethoxy anion and the acetate anion (produced by deprotonation of glyoxal and acetic acid, respectively) show that both product anions are formed, with the formylmethoxy anion being the major product. The formation of the formylmethoxide anion is straightforward, but the acetate anion is an unexpected product. We propose the mechanism shown in Scheme 4 for the formation of the enol anion of the acetate anion (which will undergo internal proton transfer to form the thermodynamically more stable acetate anion).

The gas-phase data considered to date show that 3 and 4 have identical fragmentations, but they do not determine whether 3 cyclises to 4 before fragmentation occurs. In order to investigate this aspect of the problem we must consider the spectra of ²H and ¹⁸O labelled derivatives of both the 4,5-epoxypentoxide and tetrahydro-2-furanmethoxide anions. These spectra are listed in Table 4. The spectra of the labelled derivatives demonstrate that (1) there is significant equilibration of the two oxygens before fragmentation of 3, and (2) the extent of O equilibration preceding or accompanying fragmentation is consistent in the spectra of labelled **3** and **4**. (The 2 H and 18 O labels in the labelled 4,5-epoxypentoxides following cyclisation, reside in the ring of the tetrahydro-2-furanmethoxide anion. In contrast, the ²H and ¹⁸O derivatives of the tetrahydro-2-furanmethoxide anion from the labelled tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol have the labels in the side chain. Thus the ratios H₂O:H₂¹⁸O, CH₂O:CH₂¹⁸O, and CH₂O:CD₂O, will be reversed in the two spectra.)



Such oxygen equilibration demands rearrangement of **3** prior to fragmentation.

We conclude from the presented data that 3 cy-clises to 4 and that all fragmentations shown in Figs. 2 and 3 are those of the tetrahydro-2-furanmethoxide anion 4. The fragmentations of 4 have been considered previously [14]. The spectrum shown in Fig. 3 looks deceptively simple; in fact, the processes preceding and accompanying fragmentation are complex. The losses of water and formaldehyde must come from equilibrating intermediates formed following ring opening of 4 cf. [14]. Four of these (which can interconvert by a series of proton transfers) are shown in Scheme 5. These can account both for the oxygen equilibration, and the product ion structures summarised in Scheme 2.

4. Summary and calculation of Arrhenius factors

The experimental results and ab initio calculations appear to be at variance. Ab initio results indicate that the barriers to the formation of 4 and 5 from 3 are small and comparable. If the barrier heights alone are controlling the rates of these kinetically controlled reactions, then both 4 and 5 should be formed in comparable proportions. Reaction in the condensed phase does form both 4 and 5, but 4 is formed in significantly higher yield. In contrast, 3 forms 4exclusively in the gas phase: there is no evidence for the formation of 5 from 3 in the gas phase.

This is the first occasion in our studies of S_N i reactions where ab initio calculations seem to predict a different outcome to that obtained experimentally. However, a reaction rate is controlled by both the

barrier to the transition state and by the frequency or probability factor (or Arrhenius A factor). We have considered the qualitative effect of the A factors for competitive S_Ni reactions forming four- and fivemembered rings in a previous study, but were unable to demonstrate that these were major factors in determining relative rates [3]. In the current system, because the barriers to the transition states are not controlling the relative rates of the reactions, the frequency factors must be. The two S_Ni reactions are concerted processes, and frequency factors for these competitive processes may be influenced by (1) the initial nucleophilic attack to form the transition state (i.e. the ability of the nucleophile to access the appropriate channel, and the depth of that channel), and/or (2) the nature of the transition state (i.e. whichever is "looser" (more disordered) will give the higher rate). To determine the relative abilities of the nucleophile to access the two channels requires an intimate knowledge of the potential surface maps for those processes (which we do not have), but the entropic natures of the two transition states may be determined by calculating the relative A factors.

To assess the relative Arrhenius *A* factors for the two competing cyclisation channels, we have used Transition State Theory [17], viz.

$k(T) = (K_B T/h)(Q^{\dagger}/Q_R) \exp(E_o/k_B T)$

where k_B is Boltzman's constant, *h* is Planck's constant, E_o is the energy difference between reactant and transition state at 0°K, and Q^{\dagger} and Q_R are the molecular partition functions of transition state and reactants, respectively. The partition function can be factorised into partition functions for translation, rotation, vibration, and electronic state [17], viz.

$$Q = Q_{\text{Trans}} \times Q_{\text{Rot}} \times Q_{\text{Vib}} \times Q_{\text{Elec}}$$

Since we are dealing with anions, it seems reasonable to assume that $Q_{\text{Elec}} = 1$. We are dealing with unimolecular rearrangements, so Q_{Trans} of the reactant and transition state are identical, and we assume (to a first approximation) that the same is true for Q_{Rot} . Thus, approximation of the *A* factor for each process simplifies to evaluating Q_{Vib} for the reactant and for the competing transition states. However, it must be noted that the anions in a mass spectrometer, especially following collisional activation, will not follow a Boltzman (thermalised) distribution of internal energies. Thus the following calculations must only be considered in a qualitative sense.

We have calculated, at the HF/6-31+G(d) level of theory, the harmonic vibrational frequencies of each structure using GAUSSIAN 94 cf. [18]. These values (scaled by a factor of 0.9131 [14]) are listed in the Appendix. A difficulty which often occurs with such an evaluation arises due to the problem of hindered rotors [19]. The calculated vibrational partition functions may underestimate the actual hindered rotor partition functions. Since we are concerned with the ratio of the A factors of two competing cyclisation channels that have the same reactant, we have not addressed the hindered rotor problem. The value of $Q_{\rm Vib}^{\dagger}$ for the transition state leading to the fivemembered ring product (4) is 20.5, whereas that for the six-membered product (5) is 10.2, so the transition state leading to the formation of (4) is "looser" than that giving (5). The rate of formation of (4) is thus predicted to be faster than that of (5) within the approximations we have employed.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Australian Research Council for the financial support of this project.

Appendix: Geometries of 3, 4, 5, E, and F [MP2-Fc/6-31+G(d), GAUSSIAN 94], vibrational partition functions of 3, E, and FK

	9 7	$H^{13}C^4H^{14}$	107.5667		,H ₈	H16C5H15	108.1779
Halle	/ \ ^{H10}	$C^{4}C^{5}O^{6}$	113.3298	H ₁₆	06	$C^2C^1H^8$	108.0651
	$C_1 - C_2$	C4C5H15	105.0059	Нис	C1	$O^{6}C^{1}H^{8}$	109.0874
H8-	C3	O ⁶ C ⁵ H ¹⁵	114.5215	ľ		$C^2C^1H^9$	109.9436
	112	C4C5H16	105.4858		C2::::::	$O^6C^1H^9$	105.6278
	Ç ₄ IH ₁₃	O6C5H16	113.833	L		$H^8C^1H^9$	108.9328
		H15C5H16	103.6374	H13	H ₁₀	$O^{6}C^{5}C^{4}C^{3}$	57.4147
	H ₁₆ IIIIIIC5	$O^7 C^1 C^2 C^3$	105.635		II ≥ HadHaa	$O^{6}C^{5}C^{4}H^{13}$	62.8949
	-Oc H15	$O^7 C^2 C^1 H^{10}$	-100.9929		112.11	$O^{6}C^{5}C^{4}H^{14}$	-180.6964
	00	$H^8C^1C^2O^7$	-106.5921	C^5C^4	1.5232Å	$H^{16}C^5C^4C^3$	64.2131
C^1C^2	1.4604Å	$H^8C^1C^2C^3$	-0.9571	$C^{3}C^{4}$	1.5312	$H^{16}C^5C^4H^{13}$	-175.4773
$O^7 C^2$	1.464	$H^{8}C^{1}C^{2}H^{10}$	152.415	C^2C^3	1.5483	${\rm H}^{16}{\rm C}^{5}{\rm C}^{4}{\rm H}^{14}$	-59.0685
O^7C^1	1.4645	$H^9C^1C^2O^7$	101.8442	C^1C^2	1.5411	$H^{15}C^5C^4C^3$	-175.8961
C^3C^2	1.5017	$H^9C^1C^2C^3$	-152.5208	O^6C^5	1.4232	$H^{15}C^5C^4H^{13}$	-55.5865
H^8C^1	1.0892	${\rm H}^{9}{\rm C}^{1}{\rm C}^{2}{\rm H}^{10}$	0.8513	O^6C^1	1.4577	$H^{15}C^5C^4H^{14}$	60.8223
${\rm H}^{10}{\rm C}^2$	1.0937	$C^{1}O^{7}C^{2}C^{3}$	-111.1368	O^7C^2	1.3583	$C^2C^3C^4C^5$	53.6727
H^9C^1	1.0898	$C^{1}O^{7}C^{2}H^{10}$	110.1085	$H^{10}C^2$	1.1282	$C^{2}C^{3}C^{4}H^{13}$	-65.4949
C^4C^3	1.5312	$C^2O^7C^1H^8$	106.7101	$H^{12}C^{3}$	1.0984	$C^{2}C^{3}C^{4}H^{14}$	175.2712
$H^{11}C^3$	1.1013	$C^{2}O^{7}C^{1}H^{9}$	-111.8424	$H^{11}C^{3}$	1.1015	$H^{12}C^{3}C^{4}C^{5}$	175.9231
$H^{12}C^3$	1.0949	$C^4C^3C^2C^1$	86.0768	$H^{13}C^4$	1.0992	$H^{12}C^3C^4H^{13}$	56.7555
C^5C^4	1.5564	$C^{4}C^{3}C^{2}O^{7}$	155.5574	$H^{14}C^4$	1.1029	$H^{12}C^3C^4H^{14}$	-62.4784
$H^{13}C^4$	1.1064	$C^4 C^3 C^2 H^{10}$	-67.5422	$H^{16}C^5$	1.1069	$H^{11}C^{3}C^{4}C^{5}$	-65.1167
$H^{14}C^4$	1.0997	$H^{11}C^3C^2C^1$	-149.7222	$H^{15}C^{5}$	1.0976	$H^{11}C^3C^4H^{13}$	175.7157
O^6C^5	1.3575	$H^{11}C^3C^2O^7$	-80.2416	H^8C^1	1.1041	$H^{11}C^3C^4H^{14}$	56.4818
$H^{15}C^5$	1.1268	$H^{11}C^3C^2H^{10}$	56.6588	H^9C^1	1.0934	$C^1C^2C^3C^4$	-50.6
$H^{16}C^5$	1.1301	$H^{12}C^3C^2C^1$	-31.7599	$C^5C^4C^3$	110.0519	$C^{1}C^{2}C^{3}H^{12}$	-174.7155
$C^{1}C^{2}O^{7}$	60.1028°	$H^{12}C^3C^2O^7$	37.7207	$C^4C^3C^2$	112.2756	$C^{1}C^{2}C^{3}H^{11}$	70.4117
$C^{2}C^{1}O^{7}$	60.072	$H^{12}C^3C^2H^{10}$	174.6211	$C^3C^2C^1$	106.7792	$O^7 C^2 C^3 C^4$	-173.35
$C^{2}O^{7}C^{1}$	59.8252	$C^5C^4C^3C^2$	-62.1188	$C^4C^5O^6$	111.9446	$O^7 C^2 C^3 H^{12}$	62.5345
$C^1C^2C^3$	120.3024	$C^{5}C^{4}C^{3}H^{11}$	174.938	$C^2C^1O^6$	115.0505	$O^7 C^2 C^3 H^{11}$	-52.3382
$O^7 C^2 C^3$	116.9503	$C^{5}C^{4}C^{3}H^{12}$	56.0084	$C^{5}06C^{1}$	110.4498	$H^{10}C^2C^3C^4$	60.9709
$C^2C^1H^8$	116.398	$H^{13}C^4C^3C^2$	61.2215	$C^3C^2O^7$	113.965	$H^{10}C^2C^3H^{12}$	-63.1446
$O^7 C^1 H^8$	116.3269	$H^{13}C^4C^3H^{11}$	-61.7216	$C^1C^2O^7$	110.8569	$H^{10}C^2C^3H^{11}$	-178.0173
$C^1C^2H^1$	⁰ 117.6638	$H^{13}C^4C^3H^{12}$	-180.6513	$C^{3}C^{2}H^{10}$	105.4786	$O^6C^1C^2C^3$	53.9229
$O^7 C^2 H^1$	112.1995	$H^{14}C^4C^3C^2$	178.9618	$C^{1}C^{2}H^{10}$	105.2	$0^{6}C^{1}C^{2}O^{7}$	178.5944
$C^{3}C^{2}H^{10}$	116.6997	$H^{14}C^4C^3H^{11}$	56.0186	$O^7 C^2 H^{10}$	113.9058	$O6C^{1}C^{2}H^{10}$	-57.8404
$C^2C^1H^9$	119.7241	$H^{14}C^4C^3H^{12}$	-62.911	$C^{4}C^{3}H^{12}$	111.8285	$H^8C^1C^2C^3$	-68.2266
O'C'H9	113.6938	$0^{\circ}C^{\circ}C^{4}C^{\circ}$	-48.6745	$C^{2}C^{3}H^{12}$	108.5085	$H^{8}C^{1}C^{2}O^{\prime}$	56.4449
H ⁸ C ¹ H ⁹	117.6178	0°C°C4H13	-172.3384	C ⁴ C ³ H ¹¹	110.4077	$H^{8}C^{1}C^{2}H^{10}$	180.0101
$C^2C^3C^4$	112.0927	O°C°C4H14	70.058	$C^2C^3H^{11}$	106.5888	$H^9C^1C^2C^3$	172.9958
C ² C ³ H ¹	109.3027	H ¹³ C ³ C ⁴ C ³	-174.3756	H ¹² C ³ H ¹¹	106.9548	$H^{9}C^{1}C^{2}O^{\prime}$	-62.3327
C ⁴ C ³ H ¹	111.5445	H ¹⁵ C ⁵ C ⁴ H ¹⁵	61.9605	C ³ C ⁴ H ¹³	108.1977	$H^9C^1C^2H^{10}$	61.2325
$C^2C^3H^1$	107.7769	$H^{13}C^{3}C^{4}H^{14}$	-55.6431	C ³ C ⁴ H ¹³	110.0775	$C^1O^6C^3C^4$	59.3675
C ⁴ C ⁵ H ¹	107.2884	$H^{10}C^{3}C^{4}C^{3}$	76.5035	C ³ C ⁴ H ¹⁴	109.145	C ¹ O ⁶ C ⁵ H ¹⁶	-62.2602
H ¹¹ C ³ H	108.6918	H ¹⁰ C ³ C ⁴ H ¹³	-47.1605	$C^{3}C^{4}H^{14}$	111.9954	C'O°C'H''	-178.6078
C°C⁺C°	111.2228	H ¹⁰ C ³ C ⁴ H ¹⁴	-164.764	H ¹³ C ⁺ H ¹⁴	107.2617	C'06C'C ²	-59.6092
C [°] C ⁺ H ¹	110.9791			C ⁻ C ⁻ H ¹⁰	109.4979	C'O'C'H°	61.9878
C ³ C ⁴ H ¹	110.4121			0°C'H ¹⁰	109.4982	C'O'C'H'	178.9441
C [°] C ⁺ H ¹	108.1068			C ⁻ C ⁻ H	111.8302		
C C H	108.4185			OCH	105./616		

				_	_		
H, H,	1.	$C^2C^1H^8$	106.182	Har		O6C5H16	111.6769
<u> </u>		$C^{2}C^{1}O^{7}$	110.9607	Ha m .C.	-Co., 110	$C^4 C^5 H^{16}$	106.4737
C ₁	, ¹⁶	$H^8C^1O^7$	115.0545	1.8-01	↓	H15C5H16	104.8966
	$L_2 = C_5 - H_{12}$	5 C ² C ¹ H ⁹	104.4273	0 ₆	C3 H11	$C^2C^1H^8$	119.1986
O_7	<u>\</u> /	$H^8C^1H^9$	104.4276	Ň	/ [,]	$O^7 C^1 H^8$	102.1161
	$C_3 - C_4$	$O^7 C^1 H^9$	114.8515	.Ç₅	$-C_{4}$	$C^2C^1H^9$	120.6656
H ₁₁ , ,	H ₁₄	$C^{3}C^{2}O^{6}C^{5}$	-12.5153	, WE	H ₁₃	$O^7 C^1 H^9$	106.273
n1	12 H ₁₃	$C^{1}C^{2}O^{6}C^{5}$	109.8163	^H 16 H ₁₅	H ₁₄	$H^8C^1H^9$	119.2523
		$H^{10}C^2O^6C^5$	-131.1929			$O^7 C^2 C^1 O^6$	175.31
C^2O^6	1.4774Å	$C^{4}C^{3}C^{2}O^{6}$	-13.6589	C^5O^6	1.3786Å	$C^{3}C^{4}C^{5}O^{6}$	-65.5283
C^3C^2	1.535	$C^4C^3C^2C^1$	-136.8422	C ⁴⁵	1.5486	$C^{3}C^{4}C^{5}H^{15}$	59.2229
C^4C^3	1.5315	$C^4 C^3 C^2 H^{10}$	100.8285	$C^{3}C^{4}$	1.5348	$C^{3}C^{4}C^{5}H^{16}$	170.4148
C^5O^6	1.4246	$H^{12}C^3C^2O^6$	105.1444	C^2C^3	1.5128	$H^{14}C^4C^5O^6$	55.8528
C^5C^4	1.5233	$H^{12}C^3C^2C^1$	-18.0389	C^1C^2	1.4374	${\rm H}^{14}{\rm C}^{4}{\rm C}^{5}{\rm H}^{15}$	-179.396
$H^{14}C^4$	1.0979	H12C3C2H10	-140.3682	O^7C^2	1.4261	${\rm H}^{14}{\rm C}^{4}{\rm C}^{5}{\rm H}^{16}$	-68.2005
$H^{12}C^{3}$	1.0968	H11C3C2O6	-136.9769	O^7C^1	1.7644	$H^{13}C^4C^5O^6$	172.2174
$H^{11}C^{3}$	1.0935	$H^{11}C^3C^2C^1$	99.8398	O^6C^1	2.1044	H13C4C5H15	-63.0314
C^1C^22	1.5371	H11C3C2H10	-22.4895	$H^{10}C^2$	1.1003	$H^{13}C^4C^5H^{16}$	48.1641
$H^{10}C^2$	1.0978	$C^{5}C^{4}C^{3}C^{2}$	32.5827	$H^{12}C^{3}$	1.1022	$C^{2}C^{3}C^{4}C^{5}$	57.8851
$H^{16}C^5$	1.0974	$C^{5}C^{4}C^{3}H^{12}$	-84.25	$H^{11}C^{3}$	1.0994	$C^{2}C^{3}C^{4}H^{14}$	-62.1949
H15C5	1.1033	$C^{5}C^{4}C^{3}H^{11}$	154.8029	$H^{14}C^4$	1.1003	$C^{2}C^{3}C^{4}H^{13}$	-179.9843
$H^{13}C^{4}$	1.0981	$H^{14}C^4C^3C^2$	-83.2529	$H^{13}C^{4}$	1.1044	$H^{12}C^{3}C^{4}C^{5}$	-179.0724
H^8C^1	1.1231	H14C4C3H12	159.9144	$H^{15}C^{5}$	1.1251	$H^{12}C^{3}C^{4}H^{14}$	60.8476
O^7C^1	1.3613	$H^{14}C^4C^3H^{11}$	38.9673	$H^{16}C^{5}$	1.1158	$H^{12}C^3C^4H^{13}$	-56.9418
H^9C^1	1.1317	$H^{13}C^4C^3C^2$	154,1108	H^8C^1	1.0807	$H^{11}C^3C^4C^5$	-60.2156
$O^6C^2C^3$	105.5317	$H^{13}C^4C^3H^{12}$	37.278	H^9C^1	1.0801	$H^{11}C^{3}C^{4}H^{14}$	179.7045
$C^2C^3C^4$	104.2065	$H^{13}C^4C^3H^{11}$	-83.669	$0^{6}C^{1}O^{7}$	152.817°	$H^{11}C^3C^4H^{13}$	61.915
$C^{2}O^{6}C^{5}$	108.1137	$C^4C^5O^6C^2$	34 0259	$0^{6}C^{5}C^{4}$	114 6975	$C^{1}C^{2}C^{3}C^{4}$	-65.7684
$C^3C^4C^5$	100.8711	$H^{16}C^5O^6C^2$	156 2968	$C^{5}C^{4}C^{3}$	112,9088	$C^{1}C^{2}C^{3}H^{12}$	170 4289
$0^{6}C^{5}C^{4}$	104 5849	$H^{15}C^{5}O^{6}C^{2}$	-85 0229	$C^4C^3C^2$	109 6769	$C^{1}C^{2}C^{3}H^{11}$	53 7864
$C^{3}C^{4}H^{14}$	111 1285	$0^{6}C^{5}C^{4}C^{3}$	-411607	$C^{3}C^{2}C^{1}$	115 6918	$0^{7}C^{2}C^{3}C^{4}$	-1538384
$C^{5}C^{4}H^{14}$	109 3427	$0^{6}C^{5}C^{4}H^{14}$	75 9955	$C^{3}C^{2}O^{7}$	119.6248	$0^{7}C^{2}C^{3}H^{12}$	82 3589
$C^2C^3H^{12}$	108 5352	$0^{6}C^{5}C^{4}H^{13}$	-163.0265	$C^{1}C^{2}O^{7}$	76.0731	$0^{7}C^{2}C^{3}H^{11}$	-34.2836
$C^4C^3H^{12}$	111 3969	$H^{16}C^5C^4C^3$	-158 8078	$C^{2}C^{1}O^{7}$	51 674	$H^{10}C^2C^3C^4$	69.4067
$C^{2}C^{3}H^{11}$	112 0083	$H^{16}C^5C^4H^{14}$	-41 6516	$C^{2}O^{7}C^{1}$	52 2529	$H^{10}C^2C^3H^{12}$	-54 396
$C^4C^3H^{11}$	112.0005	$H^{16}C^5C^4H^{13}$	79 3264	$C^{3}C^{2}H^{10}$	111 8615	$H^{10}C^2C^3H^{11}$	-171.0385
$H^{12}C^{3}H^{11}$	106 9725	$H^{15}C^5C^4C^3$	79.3204	$C^{1}C^{2}H^{10}$	115 5788	$0^{7}C^{1}C^{2}C^{3}$	-1164787
$0^{6}C^{2}C^{1}$	113 0199	$H^{15}C^{5}C^{4}H^{14}$	-164.673	$O^7 C^2 H^{10}$	113 8039	$0^{7}C^{1}C^{2}H^{10}$	110.4787
$C^{3}C^{2}C^{1}$	111.6875	$H^{15}C^5C^4H^{13}$	-43 6951	$C^4C^3H^{12}$	111 8532	$H^{8}C^{1}C^{2}C^{3}$	-34 2527
$0^{6}C^{2}H^{10}$	105 715	$H^8C^1C^2O^6$	56 1877	$C^{2}C^{3}H^{12}$	110 5602	$H^{8}C^{1}C^{2}O^{7}$	82 226
$C^{3}C^{2}H^{10}$	111 8728	$H^{8}C^{1}C^{2}C^{3}$	175.0088	$C^{4}C^{3}H^{11}$	100.0002	$H^{8}C^{1}C^{2}H^{10}$	-1677559
$C^{1}C^{2}H^{10}$	108 8738	$H^{8}C^{1}C^{2}H^{10}$	-60.0584	$C^{2}C^{3}H^{11}$	107 5837	$H^9C^1C^2C^3$	156 5856
$0^{6}C^{5}H^{16}$	103.8758	$0^{7}C^{1}C^{2}O^{6}$	-178 1251	$U^{12}C^{3}U^{11}$	107.3637	$H^9C^1C^2O^7$	- 96 0259
$C^4C^5H^{16}$	107.0792	$0^{7}C^{1}C^{2}C^{3}$	-178.1231	$\Gamma C \Gamma$	107.1004	$H^{9}C^{1}C^{2}H^{10}$	- 80.9338
$0^{6}C^{5}H^{15}$	114.3723	$0^{7}C^{1}C^{2}H^{10}$	- 39.304	C C H $C^{3}C^{4}H^{14}$	107.3700	$\Gamma^{1}O^{7}C^{2}C^{3}$	111 8804
$C^4 C^5 U^{15}$	110.0005	$U^{9}C^{1}C^{2}O^{6}$	04./200 	$C^{5}C^{4}H^{13}$	109.0393	$C^{1}O^{7}C^{2}H^{10}$	-112 1255
ссп u ¹⁶ С ⁵ u ¹⁵	110.4493	$H^{9}C^{1}C^{2}C^{3}$	- 33.8438	$C^{3}C^{4}\mu^{13}$	109.343	$C^{2}O^{7}C^{1}H^{8}$	-112.1355 -117.7057
$C^{3}C^{4}U^{13}$	100.0075	$H^{9}C^{1}C^{2}U^{10}$	- 170 0000	$U^{11}C^{3}U^{12}$	107.3034	$C^{2}O^{7}C^{1}U^{9}$	-11/./95/
$C^{5}C^{4}U^{13}$	112.707	пссн	-1/0.9899	$\Pi \cup \Pi$	107.4223	COCH	110.5204
U14C4U13	113.2265			0°C°H*5	112.0//3		
H. C.H.	108.4015			C C H	105.6943		

	0- H.a	$C^{5}C^{4}U^{13}$	109 4477
	H ₁₁	$C^{3}C^{4}H^{14}$	108.4477
H _{9////} /	-C-	$C^{5}C^{4}H^{14}$	111.0922
H ₈	$C_3 - H_{12}$	$U^{13}C^{4}U^{14}$	107 0202
	0 ₆	$C^4C^5U^{11}$	107.9203
	C4-H13	$0^{6}C^{5}U^{11}$	112 2694
	C ₅ ^W H ₁₄	$C^4 C^5 U^{12}$	115.2004
		$C C \Pi$	109.2039
	⁻¹⁶ H ₁₅	$U C \Pi$ $U^{15}C^{6}U^{12}$	105 6161
$0^{7}C^{2}$	1 7090 Å	$n^{7}C^{1}C^{2}O^{6}$	105.0101
$0^{6}C^{2}$	1.7969A 2.1010	$C^{2}O^{7}C^{1}H^{8}$	-1/0.131
$0^{7}C^{1}$	2.1019	$C^{2}O^{7}C^{1}H^{9}$	-114 5217
$C^{2}C^{1}$	1.4207	$0^{7}C^{2}C^{1}H^{8}$	-112.6924
$C^2 O^{7r}$	1.4401	$0^{7}C^{2}C^{1}H^{9}$	-112.0624
$C^{3}C^{2}$	1.7909	$C^{3}C^{2}C^{1}O^{7}$	91 1601
C^4C^3	1.5001	$C^{3}C^{2}C^{1}U^{8}$	01.1091
C^5C^4	1.529	$C^{3}C^{2}C^{1}H^{9}$	-165.670
$0^{6}C^{5}$	1.3323	$U^{10}C^2C^1O^7$	-103.079
U^8C^1	1.5789	$\Pi C C U$ $U^{10}C^2C^1U^8$	-81.9907
ΠC $U^{10}C^2$	1.1000	$\Pi C C \Pi$ $\Pi^{10}C^2C^1\Pi^9$	21 1612
$H^{9}C^{1}$	1.0014	$C^{3}C^{2}O^{7}C^{1}$	-110.0086
ΠC $U^{15}C^{3}$	1.0964	$U^{10}C^2O^7C^1$	-119.9080
п С u ¹⁶ C ³	1.0971	$\Gamma^{4}C^{3}C^{2}C^{1}$	113.9066
п С 11 ¹³ С ⁴	1.0995	$C^{4}C^{3}C^{2}O^{7}$	155./10
П C U ¹⁴ C ⁴	1.0995	$C^{4}C^{3}C^{2}U^{10}$	-1/4.0941
п С u ¹¹ C ⁵	1.1039	$U U U \Pi$ $U^{15}C^{3}C^{2}C^{1}$	-103.3410 -102.178
$H^{12}C^5$	1.1209	$H^{15}C^{3}C^{2}O^{7}$	-103.178
$0^7 C^2 O^6$	1.1134	$H^{15}C^{3}C^{2}H^{10}$	-51.5662
$0^{7}C^{1}C^{2}$	130.078	$H^{16}C^{3}C^{2}C^{1}$	12 9599
$C^{1}O^{7}C^{2}$	51 767	$H^{16}C^{3}C^{2}O^{7}$	61 1196
$C^{1}C^{2}O^{7}$	50 5078	$H^{16}C^{3}C^{2}H^{10}$	175 601
$C^{1}C^{2}C^{3}$	121 3847	$C^{5}C^{4}C^{3}C^{2}$	-45 6864
$0^{7}C^{2}C^{3}$	121.3647	$C^{3}C^{3}H^{15}$	-43.0804
$C^2 C^3 C^4$	103.3009	$C^{5}C^{4}C^{3}U^{16}$	-107.7100
$C^{3}C^{4}C^{5}$	106 1824	$U^{13}C^4C^3C^2$	74.1
$C^{4}C^{5}O^{6}$	100.1834	$H^{13}C^4C^3H^{15}$	-50 7711
$0^{7}C^{1}U^{8}$	107.7423	$H^{13}C^4C^{3}H^{16}$	-168.0605
$C^{2}C^{1}H^{8}$	115.567	$H^{14}C^4C^3C^2$	-169 5801
$C^{1}C^{2}H^{10}$	116.0242	$H^{14}C^4C^3H^{15}$	68 3056
$O^7 C^2 H^{10}$	101.0030	$H^{14}C^4C^3H^{16}$	-40 7037
$C^{3}C^{2}H^{10}$	110 /003	$0^{6}C^{5}C^{4}C^{3}$	-49.1931
$0^{7}C^{1}H^{9}$	119.4903	$0^{6}C^{5}C^{4}H^{13}$	-70.241
$C^2 C^1 U^9$	117 2485	$0^{6}C^{5}C^{4}H^{14}$	160 8172
$U^{8}C^{1}U^{9}$	117.2465	$H^{11}C^5C^4C^3$	-75.0018
$C^2 C^3 u^{15}$	100 3030	$H^{11}C^5C^4H^{13}$	167 2010
$C^4 C^3 u^{15}$	111 1774	$H^{11}C^5C^4H^{14}$	107.2942
$C^2C^3H^{16}$	108 1727	$H^{12}C^5C^4C^3$	47.5525
$C^4 C^3 u^{16}$	100.1/2/	$H^{12}C^5C^4H^{13}$	53 0695
ссп H ¹⁵ C ³ H ¹⁶	105.5055	$H^{12}C^5C^4H^{14}$	22.0003 _66 8727
$C^{3}C^{4}H^{13}$	100.0002	пссп	00.8732
$\sim \sim m$	107.5754		

	HF/6-31+G(d) Harmonic Frequen	cies			
	(1/w)	3→6 ts HF		$3 \rightarrow 5$ ts HF		Reactant
298	0.9131	5v6				
		157.437	1.998168881	96.8503	2.877577654	
		248.9617	1.500795779	218.6748	1.616428524	
		328.6974	1.306828824	259.2397	1.468223202	
		349.07031	1.273270111	293.1267	1.378646413	
		368.26711	1.245647708	318.8512	1.324862665	
		456.6176	1.154183081	437.773	1.169807196	
		474.7492	1.140672169	466.3916	1.14672652	
		576.9262	1.085304368	595.4249	1.078101978	
		851.9635	1.023939149	904.8141	1.01886973	
		907.2457	1.018664776	939.6597	1.016139314	
		957.8098	1.014879773	968.7445	1.014169573	
		1020.9847	1.011222093	993.959	1.012660017	
		1027.1873	1.010916087	1050.7703	1.009827594	
		1088.694	1.008301987	1095.7603	1.008045302	
		1098.9146	1.007933319	1139.4047	1.006627807	
		1142.056	1.006550286	1184.6318	1.005423233	
		1215.2838	1.004734504	1241.5412	1.004214805	
		1251.3729	1.004035303	1241.7007	1.00421183	
		1261.6875	1.003855227	1280.2103	1.003551853	
		1306.8693	1.003156769	1316.6557	1.003023068	
		1334.2357	1.002796993	1339.504	1.002732605	
		1351.2783	1.002594023	1345.4006	1.002662299	
		1388.4798	1.002200785	1377.3043	1.002312184	
		1420.5572	1.001910013	1416.608	1.001943623	
		1474.7671	1.001503384	1462.241	1.001588874	
		1485.8614	1.001431521	1483.9876	1.001443413	
		1528.1011	1.00118801	1516.9297	1.001248059	
		1552.6904	1.001065832	1580.119	1.000944325	
		1611.453	1.000822387	1616.8304	1.000803105	
		1631.6319	1.000752336	1633.5746	1.000745915	
		1643.413	1.000714232	1655.9089	1.000675924	
		1658.3677	1.000668632	1694.1428	1.000571018	
		2869.8021	1.000003203	2898.9173	1.000002817	
		2959.1501	1.00000216	2959.6052	1.000002156	
		3117.2053	1.000001076	3138.95	1.00000978	
		3138.4509	1.0000098	3142.7375	1.00000961	
		3154.7416	1.000000912	3167.9556	1.0000086	
		3163.7017	1.00000877	3175.4567	1.00000832	
		3194.9892	1.00000764	3206.0572	1.00000727	
		3432.0823	1.00000269	3246.3859	1.000000609	
		3552.7109	1.00000158	3487.5424	1.0000021	
			10.16500000		00 4150 42 45	
			10.16522992		20.41/34345	
	HF/6-31+G(a)	1) Kotational Constants	[GHZ]			

1.4219866

1.7928184

4.88875881

340

Reactant

Harmonic vibrational frequencies [hf/6-31+G(d)] of the competing transition states

TS forming 5 membered ring	TS forming 6 membered ring
$\nu [\mathrm{cm}^{-1}]$	$\nu [\mathrm{cm}^{-1}]$
96.8503	157.4370
218.6748	248.9617
259.2397	328.6974
293.1267	349.0703
318.8512	368.2671
437.7730	456.6176
466.3916	474.7492
595.4249	576.9262
904.8141	851.9635
939.6597	907.2457
968.7445	957.8098
993.9590	1020.9847
1050.7703	1027.1873
1095.7603	1088.6940
1139.4047	1098.9146
1184.6318	1142.0560
1241.5412	1215.2838
1241.7007	1251.3729
1280.2103	1261.6875
1316.6557	1306.8693
1339.5040	1334.2357
1345.4006	1351.2783
1377.3043	1388.4798
1416.6080	1420.5572
1462.2410	1474.7671
1483.9876	1485.8614
1516.9297	1528.1011
1580.1190	1552.6904
1616.8304	1611.4530
1633.5746	1631.6319
1655.9089	1643.4130
1694.1428	1658.3677
2898.9173	2869.8021
2959.6052	2959.1501
3138.9500	3117.2053
3142.7375	3138.4509
3167.9556	3154.7416
3175.4567	3163.7017
3206.0572	3194.9892
3246.3859	3432.0823
3487.5424	3552.7109

References

- S. Dua, M.S. Taylor, M.A. Buntine, J.H. Bowie, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, (1997) 1991.
- [2] S. Dua, M. S. Taylor, M.A. Buntine, J.H. Bowie, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Processes, 165/166 (1997) 139.
- [3] J.M. Hevko, S. Dua, J.H. Bowie, M.S. Taylor, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, (1998) 1629.
- [4] L. Tenud, S. Faroog, J. Seible, A. Eschenmoser, Helv. Chim. Acta 53 (1970) 2059; G. Stork, J.F. Cohen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 596 (1974) 5270.
- [5] J.E. Baldwin, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. (1976) 734; J.E. Baldwin, Further Perspectives in Organic Chemistry: A Ciba Foundation Symposium, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1978, p. 85.
- [6] C.D. Johnson, Acc. Chem. Res. 26 (1993) 476.
- [7] S.W. Benson, Thermochemical Kinetics, Wiley and Sons, New York, 1968, p. 181.
- [8] V.G. Instruments, Wythenshawe, Manchester, UK, Model ZAB 2HF.
- [9] M.B. Stringer, J.H. Bowie, J.L. Holmes, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108 (1986) 3888.
- [10] J.H. Bowie, T. Blumenthal, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97 (1975) 2959; J.E. Szulejko, J.H. Bowie, I. Howe, J.H. Beynon, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. 13 (1980) 76.
- [11] M.J. Frisch, G.W. Trucks, H.B. Schlegel, P.M.W. Gill, B.G. Johnson, M.A. Robb, J.R. Cheeseman, T. Keith, G.A. Peterssen, J.A. Montgomery, K. Raghavachari, M.A. Al-Latham, V.G. Zakrewski, J.V. Ortiz, J.B. Foresman, J. Cioslowski, B.B. Stefanov, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, C.Y. Peng, P.V. Ayala, W. Chen, M.W. Wong, J.L. Andres, E.S. Replogle, R. Gomperts, R.L. Martin, D.J. Fow, J.S. Binkley, D.J. Defrees, J. Baker, J.P. Stewart, M. Head-Gordon, C. Gonzales, J.A. Pople, GAUSSIAN 94, Revision C3, Gaussian Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 1995.
- [12] H.C. Brown, J.V.N. Vara Prasad, S. Zee, J. Org. Chem. 50 (1985) 1582.
- [13] Y. Masaki, T. Muira, I. Mukai, A. Itoh, H. Oda, Chem. Lett. (1991) 1937.
- [14] S. Dua, R.A.J. O'Hair, J.H. Bowie, R.N. Hayes, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, (1992) 1151.
- [15] S. Gronert, J.M. Lee, J. Org. Chem. 60 (1995) 4488.
- [16] M.L. Mihailovic, D. Marinkovic, Croatica Chem. Acta 59 (1986) 109.
- [17] R.G. Gilbert, S.C. Smith, Theory of Unimolecular and Recombination Reactions, Blackwell Scientific, Cambridge, 1990.
- [18] A.P. Scott, L. Radom., J. Phys. Chem. 100 (1996) 16502.
- [19] J.P.A. Heuts, R.G. Gilbert, L. Radom, Macromolecules 28 (1995) 8771; J. Phys. Chem. 100 (1996) 18997.